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About Pitney Bowes

- 80-plus year legacy
- Fortune 500 company
- $5.2 billion global provider of integrated mail and document management solutions
- Global team of more than 38,000 employees
- Presence in more than 130 countries worldwide
- More than 2 million customers
## Pitney Bowes Populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business</th>
<th>Mailstream Solutions</th>
<th>Enterprise Solutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meters, Postage</td>
<td>Outsourced Mailrooms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Financial Services</td>
<td>Document Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Document Factories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Population</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Age</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic Concentration</td>
<td>CT, WI, WA</td>
<td>NYC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dispersed</td>
<td>Highly dispersed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Healthy Corporation

Healthy Work Environment
- On-site medical facilities/Fitness Centers
- Ergonomic workspaces/Stretch breaks
- Non-smoking work sites
- Healthy food options in cafeterias
- Lactation rooms

Personal Responsibility
- Wellness/prevention
- Demand management
- Disease management

Healthy, Engaged, Productive Employees
HPM Database

- Health care costs-Episode of Care Data
- Workers’ Compensation & Disability: incidence rate, lost time, costs
- Medical Clinics: Utilization, customer satisfaction, clinical outcomes from program interventions (impact on presenteeism)
- Health Care University (HCU): Participation, risk factors & behaviors vs. established norms/targets
- HR programs:
  - Impact of work/life programs on productivity
  - Gallup survey results
  - Perception surveys
1st Generation Value Based Decision Making-Early 1990’s

- Completed first review of episode of care analysis for all Doctors in the State of Ct
  - Created first EPO for State of CT-first regional plan implementation
  - Created incentives for employees to join the network through lower employee contributions
- Results-Lower health care costs significantly
- Created foundation for our on-site clinics
  - 6 primary care clinics-average of 35000 patient encounters per year(serviced population-5500 employees)
2\textsuperscript{nd} Generation of Value Based Decision making

- Pitney Bowes had a limited EAP and Chemical and Alcohol benefits designs with lifetime limits
- Engaged University to research the question of whether a limited EAP plan design impacted other costs in the area of physical health costs and disability
- All employee data was submitted and a 2\textsuperscript{nd} generation employee data base was created
Overall results showed that for these members their total health care costs were greater due to the limited plan designs:

- Disability costs were higher for these members
- Mental health service use and costs declined by 1/3 BUT
- Employees who used mental health services increased the use of non-mental health services
  - *And* significantly increased sick days
  - No such increases in other employees
1996: Benefit plan is changed

- “It must be our vendor: “
  - Changed vendors, keeping EAP separate from chemical dependency and alcohol
  - Results: benefit costs continued to rise

2001 New design

- Changed vendor, combining EAP w/ chemical dependency and alcohol
- Increased # EAP visits allowed from 3 to 8

RESULTS: AHA!
Our method of action:

2. Predictive Modeling

- Hybrid artificial intelligence-Utilization of $10,000 in resources-medical, disability, workers comp
- Population-based factors associated with migration from “normal” to “high cost”
- Total cost of health approach
  - Medical claims
  - Pharmacy
  - Behavioral health
  - Disability
  - Absenteeism
  - Workers Comp
2a: Predictive Model Findings

If Employee or Dependent:

☑ Has diagnosis of depression or diabetes
☑ Is over age 22
☑ Has filled less than 6 prescriptions for antidepressant or diabetes drugs in preceding year

Or

☑ Has spent more than $780 on health care in previous year

Or

☑ Has spent nothing on health care in previous year, less than 40 years old and filed less than 3 workers comp claims

Then:

Person is predicted to be at high cost for subsequent year
Findings:

- Prevalence of both asthma and diabetes increased dramatically between 2001 and 2004
  - Employee turnover and onboarding of high risk populations
  - Temporal trend
    - Aging
    - Diet, exercise patterns
- 2004 prevalence exceeds benchmark level
2b: Key Predictors for High Cost Claims

- Chronic diseases
  - Asthma
  - Diabetes
  - Cardiovascular
  - Depression

- Strong association between chronic condition progression and
  - Low possession rates of medication used to treat these conditions -- Compliance
  - Lack of preventive/screening utilization
Action Pyramid

Plan Design

Carve-out DM Vendor E-health Portal

Wellness – Health Care University

MCO’s Contracting eValue8 Large Case Management Disease Management
Our method of action: Pharmacy Plan Design

- Tier 1 – Most Generics
- Tier 2 – Most Preferred
- Tier 3 – Non-preferred Name Brand Drugs
Pharmacy Plan

Performance
- 24% elect “buy-up” plan
- 47% generic utilization
- Generic Efficiency Rate = 99.8%
- 75/25 cost sharing

Design
- No mandatory generic
- No step therapy
- No therapeutic substitution
- Limited prior authorization
Chronic disease prevalence is growing. RX is an integral part of managing most chronic conditions. Low possession rates of target medications is key predictor of future disease burden and cost. Company’s future health claims can be reduced by keeping employees with chronic disease on their medications.

How to keep employees taking their chronic disease medications?

Rx drugs are subject to price elasticity of demand. Rx drug demand elasticity is a function of cost. Medication compliance is a function of drug access and affordability. Put target chronic disease medications on most affordable tier to increase compliance with disease management program.
The “Business Case”
or
A “Leap of Faith”

**Drivers:**
- Predictive Modeling Results
  - Illness burden and costs driven by lack of preventive services and pharmaceutical compliance
- Analysis indicating 50% population had a chronic illness

**Challenges:**
- Assume increased cost sharing
- Forgo some rebates
- Senior Management imperative to manage the health care budget
“Traditional” Rx Benefit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>Most generic drugs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>10% Coinsurance</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Most preferred brand name drugs, including those for:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>30% Coinsurance</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 3</th>
<th>Non-preferred brand name drugs, including those for:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>50% Coinsurance</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New Rx Access Benefit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>Most generic drugs and all brand name drugs for:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10% Coinsurance</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Most preferred brand name drugs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>30% Coinsurance</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 3</th>
<th>Non-preferred brand name drugs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>50% Coinsurance</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Preliminary findings

- Annual cost of care decreased for both conditions (asthma and diabetes)
- Pharmacy costs decreased
- Hospital admissions declined for people w/ asthma
  - Hospital admissions increased for people w/ diabetes (still below benchmark)
- ER visits declined for people w/ diabetes
  - ER visits unchanged for people w/ asthma
- Changes in medication/possession rates for both groups
  - improved adherence
  - Types of medications (more controllers, less rescue)

SAVINGS OF $1 MILLION IN FIRST YEAR

SAVINGS OF $2.5 MILLION 3RD YEAR
What We Learned:

Using investment strategy, instead of cost-containment strategy, was the key to better ROI

- Integrated HPM database is essential
- Integrated approach
  - Benefit design
  - Care delivery
  - MCOs
  - Care vendors
- Continuous process
- Appropriate pharma, Doctor visits and lab uses are an integral part of condition management
- Strategic impact of access issues
Key Messages

1) Most tools now give you a fine view - through your rear window
2) Identify key chronic conditions using data
3) Data is valuable even if you have little of it
4) Benefits designs do drive consumer behavior
5) Redefine wellness/prevention to include care for chronic conditions
6) Prescription drugs have value in managing chronic care
7) Benefits planning can create a strategic advantage
8) You can make a difference
reposition CC's....early in the presentation, mention that you will be introducing CC's throughout the presentation, and why. i.e., understanding & adopting CC's are important concepts in changing thought processes & buying processes when changing to a value vs. cost based buying mode. number them, i.e., CC # 1. and then describe. have them printed up as a leave behind? It's too much to describe them all at once...too much to digest at once

Field Force User, 08/19/2005